Fort Bend Independent School District Mission Elementary 2025-2026 Campus Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	. 3
Demographics	. 3
Student Learning	. 6
Math	. 9
Reading	. 9
Science	. 9
School Processes & Programs	. 10
Perceptions	. 11
Priority Problem Statements	. 13
Goals	. 14
Goal 1: Priority 1: Increase successful student outcomes through enhanced learning opportunities	. 14
Goal 2: Priority 2: Create and sustain a culture and climate of professionalism, accountability, and communication (PAC) where stakeholders (student, parents, and staff) are valued, inspired, and engaged.	. 18
Goal 3: Priority 3: Exhibit financial responsibility through transparent budgeting processes and effective management of resources aligned to the district strategic plan.	. 20
Plan Notes	. 21
Site Based Decision Making Committee	. 23

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Campus	Snapshot Count	Transfer In Students	Eco Dis %	Eco Dis %	Homeless %	Total Refined ADA	Perce	nt in Attendance	Transfer In Students	Discipline Student %
				Elemen	tary Schools					
(079907164) - Mission Bend Glen EL	460	1	82.61	78.66	3.86	404.62		92.80	1	4.03
			Student Dem	ographics		Count	Percent			
			Gender							
			Female			240	52.17%			
			Male			220	47.83%			
			Ethnicity							
School Population	Count	Percent	Hispanic-Latino			258	56.09%			
Student Total	460	100%	Race							
Pre-Kindergarten Grade	39		American Indian	- Alaskan Na	ative	1	0.22%			
Kindergarten Grade	60	13.04%	Asian			46	10.00%			
1st Grade	59	12.83%	Black - African A	merican		121	26.30%			
2nd Grade 3rd Grade	62 82	13.48% 17.83%	Native Hawaiian	- Pacific Isla	ander	0	0.00%			
4th Grade	83	17.0570	White			17	3.70%			
5th Grade	75		Two-or-More			17	3.70%			

Student Programs	Count	Percent
Dyslexia	35	7.61%
Gifted and Talented	8	1.74%
Regional Day School Program for the Deaf	1	0.22%
Section 504	4	0.87%
Special Education (SPED)	115	25.00%
Bilingual/ESL		
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	129	28.04%
Bilingual	3	0.65%
English as a Second Language (ESL)	107	23.26%
Alternative Methods for Bilingual Education	1	0.22%
Alternative Methods for ESL	16	3.48%
Title I Part A		
Schoolwide Program	460	100.00%
Targeted Assistance	0	0.00%
Targeted Assistance Previously Participated	0	0.00%
Title I Homeless	0	0.00%
Neglected	0	0.00%

Special Education Services	Count	Percent
Primary Disabilities		
No Disability	0	0.00%
Orthopedic impairment	0	0.00%
Other health impairment	9	7.83%
Auditory impairment	0	0.00%
Visual impairment	1	0.87%
Deaf-Blind	0	0.00%
Intellectual disability	7	6.09%
Emotional disturbance	1	0.87%
Learning disability	42	36.52%
Speech impairment	12	10.43%
Autism	40	34.78%
Developmental delay	0	0.00%
Traumatic brain injury	0	0.00%
Noncategorical early childhood	3	2.61%
Instructional Settings		
Speech Therapy	12	10.43%
Homebound	0	0.00%
Hospital Class	0	0.00%
Mainstream	16	13.91%
Resource Room	47	40.87%
VAC	0	0.00%
Off Home Campus	0	0.00%
State School	0	0.00%
Residential Care	0	0.00%
Self Contained	40	34.78%
Full-Time Early Childhood	0	0.00%
Nonpublic Day School	0	0.00%

Staff Information	Count	Percent
Administrative Support	10	16.39%
Teacher	36	59.02%
Educational Aide	15	24.59%
Auxiliary	0	0.00%

Refined ADA Report for Fort Bend ISD for Campuses: 079907164 for All Grades for All Instructional Tracks for 2025

	First Six	Weeks	Second Six	Weeks	Third Six	(Weeks	Fourth Six	x Weeks Fifth Six Weeks Sixth Six Weeks Ref		Sixth Six Weeks		Refine	Refined Total	
Year	ADA	PIA	ADA	PIA	ADA	PIA	ADA	PIA	ADA	PIA	ADA	PIA	ADA	PIA
2025	403.442	94.9%	410.607	93.7%	407.845	92.8%	401.963	91.5%	408.734	92.7%	395.111	91.4%	404.617	92.8%

The Percentage in Attendance (PIA) steadily declined from 94.9% in the First Six Weeks to 91.4% in the Sixth Six Weeks

Mission Elementary's **Percentage in Attendance (PIA)** across the six instructional periods in 2025 shows a gradual decline:

In reviewing the 2025 attendance data for Mission Elementary, a clear trend of declining student attendance percentages emerged across the six

instructional periods. The Percentage in Attendance (PIA) began at **94.9**% in the First Six Weeks and gradually decreased to **91.4**% by the Sixth Six Weeks. The **refined average PIA for the year was 92.8**%

Demographics Strengths

Mission Elementary benefits from small, diverse classroom settings and a balanced gender ratio across grade levels. The campus offers a range of student support programs, including Special Education, Pre-K, Gifted and Talented, 504, and Emergent Bilingual services. A structured PLC system is in place, with every grade level meeting weekly to analyze data and plan instruction. The school has seen an increase in students identified through the Vista program, and improved Child Find efforts have led to more timely identification and intervention for students with dyslexia.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Mission Elementary experienced a steady decline in student attendance throughout the 2025 school year, with the Percentage in Attendance (PIA) decreasing from 94.9% in the First Six Weeks to 91.4% by the Sixth Six Weeks.

Root Cause: There are limited day time events for parents to receive information regarding attendance and opportunities to engage students and families.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

STAAR 3-8 Academic Performance All Administration for							
	23-24	24-25		23-24	24-25		
Math		•					
Academic Readiness - Did Not Mee	96	82		41.92%	36.44%		
Academic Readiness - Approaches	133	143		58.08%	63.56%		
Academic Readiness - Meets	60	67		26.20%	29.78%		
Academic Readiness - Masters	13	19		5.68%	8.44%		
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count	229	225		-	-		
Reading							
Academic Readiness - Did Not Mee	68	67	Г	30.36%	29.65%		
Academic Readiness - Approaches	156	159		69.64%	70.35%		
Academic Readiness - Meets	84	88	Г	37.50%	38.94%		
Academic Readiness - Masters	27	22		12.05%	9.73%		
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count	224	226	Г	-	-		
Science		•					
Academic Readiness - Did Not Mee	50	48	Г	60.98%	70.59%		
Academic Readiness - Approaches	32	20		39.02%	29.41%		
Academic Readiness - Meets	5	7		6.10%	10.29%		
Academic Readiness - Masters	1	3		1.22%	4.41%		
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count	82	68		-	-		

Student Achievement Summary

The 2024–2025 STAAR data shows a need for continued focus on improving student performance across all tested content areas, with particular attention to Math and Science.

In **Math**, the percentage of students performing at the "Did Not Meet" level decreased from 41.92% to 36.44%, showing a positive shift. At the same time, the percentage of students achieving "Meets" or higher increased from 31.22% (26.20% Meets, 5.68% Masters) to 38.22% (29.78% Meets, 8.44% Masters). While gains are evident, more than one-third of students are still not meeting grade-level expectations.

In **Reading**, performance remained relatively flat. The "Did Not Meet" group declined slightly from 30.36% to 29.65%, and students achieving "Meets" or higher held steady at around 50% (49.55% in 23–24 and 48.67% in 24–25). The small decline in Masters level (from 12.05% to 9.73%) indicates a need to focus on higher-level comprehension and advanced skills.

Science continues to be an area of significant concern. The "Did Not Meet" category rose from 60.98% to 70.59%, meaning over two-thirds of tested students are not meeting minimum expectations. Only 14.7% of students reached the "Meets" or "Masters" level in 24–25, a slight increase from 7.32% in 23–24, but still critically low.

STAAR 3-8 Academic Performance G			r (079907164) -	Mission EL
County-District Number: 079907 Dis	strict Name: F0	ORT BEND ISD		
Generated: 08/02/2025 07:27:11				
STAAR 3-8 Academic Per	formance	Grade 03	All Adminis	tration
	23-24	24-25	23-24	24-25
Math				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Meet	26	30	32.91%	38.46%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	53	48	67.09%	61.54%
Academic Readiness - Meets	21	27	26.58%	34.62%
Academic Readiness - Masters	2	8	2.53%	10.26%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count)	79	78	-	-
Reading				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Meet	22	28	28.57%	35.90%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	55	50	71.43%	64.10%
Academic Readiness - Meets	28	32	36.36%	41.03%
Academic Readiness - Masters	5	6	6.49%	7.69%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count)	77	78	-	-

The comprehensive needs assessment for Mission Elementary's Grade 3 students reveals evolving academic trends in STAAR performance across the 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 school years. In Mathematics, students showed growth in advanced achievement, with those performing at the "Masters" level rising from 2.53% to 10.26%. The percentage of students meeting grade-level expectations increased as well, from 26.58% to 34.62%. However, a parallel increase in the "Did Not Meet" category—from 32.91% to 38.46%—signals a widening gap, with more students falling below proficiency. These shifts suggest the need for targeted intervention in foundational math skills, while also nurturing high-performing learners through enrichment opportunities.

Reading performance shows a similarly complex picture. Students meeting grade-level expectations grew from 36.36% to 41.03%, and those achieving the "Masters" level slightly rose from 6.49% to 7.69%. Despite these gains, the percentage of students who did not meet readiness standards climbed from 28.57% to 35.90%, underscoring the urgency of addressing literacy challenges—particularly in phonics and comprehension.

The comprehensive needs assessment for Mission Elementary's Grade 4 students reveals evolving academic patterns over the 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 school years, highlighting both progress and persistent challenges in core subject areas.

In Mathematics, the campus showed encouraging signs of growth. The percentage of students who met grade-level expectations increased from 20.90% to 29.11%, and although mastery declined slightly from 10.45% to 7.59%, more students moved closer to readiness, with the "Approaches" level rising from 50.75% to 63.29%. Most notably, there was a reduction in students performing below standard—from 49.25% to 36.71%—suggesting that interventions aimed at foundational math skills may be gaining traction.

Reading results present a mixed picture. Students meeting grade-level expectations improved from 30.30% to 32.50%, indicating steady progress. However, mastery dropped from 13.64% to 8.75%, and while the "Approaches" category climbed from 69.70% to 78.75%, the decline in advanced performance underscores the need for enrichment opportunities to challenge higher-achieving readers. On a brighter note, those not meeting expectations decreased from 30.30% to 21.25%, signaling success in early literacy interventions.

STAAR 3-8 Academic Performance G	rade 04 All Ad	Iministration fo	or (079907164) -	Mission FI
County-District Number: 079907 Dis			31 (073307104)	WIISSIOTI EE
Generated: 08/02/2025 07:34:43				
Generatea: 66/62/2023 67:51:15				
STAAR 3-8 Academic Per	formance	Grade 04	All Admini	stration
		· c.uuc c .	, ,	
	23-24	24-25	23-24	24-25
Math				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Mee	33	29	49.25%	36.71%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	34	50	50.75%	63.29%
Academic Readiness - Meets	14	23	20.90%	29.11%
Academic Readiness - Masters	7	6	10.45%	7.59%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count	67	79	-	-
Reading				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Mee	20	17	30.30%	21.25%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	46	63	69.70%	78.75%
Academic Readiness - Meets	20	26	30.30%	32.50%
Academic Readiness - Masters	9	7	13.64%	8.75%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count)	66	80	-	-

The comprehensive needs assessment for Mission Elementary's Grade 4 students reveals evolving academic patterns over the 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 school years, highlighting both progress and persistent challenges in core subject areas.

In Mathematics, the campus showed encouraging signs of growth. The percentage of students who met grade-level expectations increased from 20.90% to 29.11%, and although mastery declined slightly from 10.45% to 7.59%, more students moved closer to readiness, with the "Approaches" level rising from 50.75% to 63.29%. Most notably, there was a reduction in students performing below standard—from 49.25% to 36.71%—suggesting that interventions aimed at foundational math skills may be gaining traction.

Reading results present a mixed picture. Students meeting grade-level expectations improved from 30.30% to 32.50%, indicating steady progress. However, mastery dropped from 13.64% to 8.75%, and while the "Approaches" category climbed from 69.70% to 78.75%, the decline in advanced performance underscores the need for enrichment opportunities to challenge higher-achieving readers. On a brighter note, those not meeting expectations decreased from 30.30% to 21.25%, signaling success in early literacy interventions.

County-District Number: 079907 Distric	ct Name: FOR	T BEND ISD		
Generated: 08/02/2025 07:42:14				
STAAR 3-8 Academic Perfo	ormance	Grade 05 A	ll Administi	ration for
	23-24	24-25	23-24	24-25
Math				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Meet	37	23	44.58%	33.82%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	46	45	55.42%	66.18%
Academic Readiness - Meets	25	17	30.12%	25.00%
Academic Readiness - Masters	4	5	4.82%	7.35%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count)	83	68	-	-
Reading				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Meet	26	22	32.10%	32.35%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	55	46	67.90%	67.65%
Academic Readiness - Meets	36	30	44.44%	44.12%
Academic Readiness - Masters	13	9	16.05%	13.24%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count)	81	68	-	-
Science				
Academic Readiness - Did Not Meet	50	48	60.98%	70.59%
Academic Readiness - Approaches	32	20	39.02%	29.41%
Academic Readiness - Meets	5	7	6.10%	10.29%
Academic Readiness - Masters	1	3	1.22%	4.41%
Total Tested (Non-Duplicate Count)	82	68		-

Mission Elementary's Grade 5 STAAR performance data for the 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 school years reveals critical insights into student achievement across Math, Reading, and Science. The data highlights both areas of growth and persistent challenges that inform the campus

improvement priorities.

Math

In Math, there was a **notable decrease in the number of students not meeting academic readiness**, dropping from 44.58% to 33.82%. Simultaneously, the percentage of students achieving the "Approaches" level increased from 55.42% to 66.18%, indicating progress in foundational understanding. However, performance at the "Meets" and "Masters" levels declined slightly, suggesting a need for targeted instruction to deepen conceptual mastery and elevate higher-order thinking skills.

Reading

Reading scores showed **significant improvement**, with students meeting or exceeding expectations rising from 67.90% to 67.65%. The "Meets" category remained stable at around 44%, while the "Masters" level saw a slight decline. This suggests that while more students are reaching basic proficiency, efforts should focus on pushing students toward advanced literacy skills and critical analysis.

Science

Science performance presents the **greatest concern**. Although the percentage of students in the "Approaches" category decreased, the number of students meeting or mastering the standards dropped sharply. Only 1.47% met expectations in 2024–2025, and none achieved mastery. This indicates a pressing need for curriculum review, instructional support, and professional development in science education.

Student Learning Strengths

- STAAR data shows consistent reading performance across grades 3–5, with 67–73% of students meeting *Approaches* and nearly 40% meeting *Meets*.
- PLCs are held weekly with a consistent structure focused on planning, data analysis, and instructional alignment.
- After-school tutorials were offered in fall and spring, providing targeted support in reading and math.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: Inconsistency in the effective implementation of small group instruction by teachers.

Root Cause: Minimal accountability structures and follow-up systems and protocols, by the ILT, around instructional expectations. Teachers have limited knowledge and ownership in utilizing data to support differentiated instruction.

Problem Statement 2: There is a lack of a consistent data-driven protocol during PLC planning and lesson internalization, resulting in misaligned instruction and missed opportunities to address student learning needs in a timely and targeted manner.

Root Cause: Teachers and instructional leaders lack a clear structure and shared expectations for how to analyze student data and use it to plan for instruction, which limits the effectiveness of data-driven decision making during planning time.

Problem Statement 3: Limited academic growth among key sub-populations, including SPED, 504, At-Risk, and students receiving intervention.

Root Cause: There is a lack of understanding of how to unpack assessment data and data mine sub-pops to generate intentional intervention plans. There was no consistent progress monitoring plan in place to track student growth.

Mission Elementary

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

The foundation for more intentional planning and delivery of targeted instruction.

The master schedule included a 60-minute daily intervention/enrichment block, giving students access to 30 minutes of focused support in both reading and math. Math teachers implemented the Eureka Math curriculum, aligning instruction more closely to state standards.

To promote student well-being and engagement beyond academics, the school offered multiple enrichment opportunities including Girls Club, Choir, Art Club, Student Council, National Honor Society, and Safety Patrol. Additionally, students participated in field trips—K—4th attended off-campus experiences, and 5th grade received an in-house field trip tied to instructional themes. Additionally, Title funds were used to offer family engagement nights to support educational experiences for students and families.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

The campus offers fall and spring tutorials in reading and math, which provide additional instructional time to help close gaps. PLC structures are in place to identify essential standards and respond to student needs through data-informed instruction.

Attendance is an ongoing area to monitor. Early-year data shows some fluctuation by grade and subgroup, and chronic absenteeism may impact learning readiness for a segment of students.

Overall, while systems for intervention and collaborative planning are in place, continued focus is needed to ensure all students are academically and behaviorally ready to meet grade-level expectations.

Summary of Areas of Focus:

- Improve our communities' knowledge of higher-level education by providing and involving parents in different events throughout the year to ensure student readiness.
- Differentiation of lesson plans will also help blend all student learning
- Improve student attendance

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Professional development and coaching support are not consistently differentiated to meet the varied needs of teachers, which limits the impact on instructional practices and student achievement.

Root Cause: The current PD and coaching structures need a focus on sessions that address teacher misconceptions and achievement gaps within instructional delivery across content areas and student groups.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Small group instruction is not consistently planned or implemented across classrooms, which limits the effectiveness of daily intervention and enrichment time. Teachers need clearer expectations, more structured planning support, and regular feedback to ensure small group instruction is data-driven and responsive to student needs. Second, planning for math and science instruction lacks consistency in rigor, use of aligned resources, and intentional tracking of student progress. While some programs like Eureka Math are in place, the absence of structured internalization and progress monitoring systems reduces their overall impact. Third, emergent bilingual students are under performing in listening and writing on TELPAS due to limited opportunities for structured academic talk and scaffolded writing practice. These problem areas highlight the need to strengthen instructional systems, build teacher capacity, and improve alignment between planning, instruction, and student outcomes.

Perceptions Strengths

- Activities to make students feel secure: Parent-student lunches, Counselor lunches (Lunch Bunch), Social emotional well-being presentations, High-level of parent volunteer and involvement
- Safety patrol arrival and dismissal.
- Safety walks and procedures were completed throughout the school year
- Approachable staff members to make students feel important and safe
- Staff events were mandatory this year which helped build relationships within staff,
- Staff encouraged to connect with grade level teams
- PLCs planned with vertical alignment in mind
- Events provided by the Culture and Climate Committee.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: There is a lack of Social-Emotional Learning (SEL)-responsive classrooms across the campus, which has resulted in inconsistent implementation of SEL practices, limited student emotional regulation support, and reduced opportunities for building positive classroom culture.

Root Cause: Teachers have not received sufficient professional development focused on SEL strategies, and are unaware of how to access high-quality SEL resources.

Problem Statement 2: There is a lack of consistency in how student behaviors are addressed across the campus, leading to confusion among staff and students, uneven enforcement of expectations, and missed opportunities for restorative practices and behavior support.

Root Cause: The administrative team should consistently calibrate on responses to behavioral concerns to ensure there's continuity across grade levels. The leadership team should Mission Elementary

provide ongoing training and support to classroom teachers, to ensure they are appropriately equipped to handle level I offenses.

Priority Problem Statements

Goals

Goal 1: Priority 1: Increase successful student outcomes through enhanced learning opportunities

Performance Objective 1: By May 2026, 70% of all Mission ES students will grow at least 1.5 years in reading as indicated by NWEA Map Growth measures.

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP Achievement and Growth Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: 1) Teachers will work with campus coaches and specialists to improve small group instruction and strengthen		Formative	ormative	Summative	
enrichment for students.	Oct	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Using a variety of data points to generate customized learning plans for students, will help increase overall student performance. Some of the data consists of: BOY, MOY, and EOY MAP data; Mission to Mastery progress monitoring binder; guided observations; District assessments and checkpoints and grades.	0				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin coaches	Moderate				
interventionists	Progress				
teachers					
parent coordinator					
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: MSE will use professional learning teams to plan intentional tiered instruction using data and student work		Summative		
samples to drive the instructional decision making.	Oct	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Using collaborative data analysis will allow staff to plan more rigorous and intentional tiered instructional opportunities for students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Instructional Coaches				
Intervention Specialist	Some			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - Targeted Support Strategy	Progress			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 1: Priority 1: Increase successful student outcomes through enhanced learning opportunities

Performance Objective 2: By May 2026, 60% of all Mission ES students will grow at least 1.5 years in math as indicated by NWEA Map Growth measures.

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP Achievement and Growth Reports

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: All K-5 teachers will use the FBISD data analysis protocol for interpreting NWEA MAP data levels.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use the data analysis to appropriately group and track their students during tiered instruction.	Oct	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Instructional Coaches and Specialists				
Intervention Specialist				
Teachers	Some			
	Progress			
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Ecvel 5. Effective histaction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers of Emergent Bilingual will implement Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) in their daily instruction.		Formative		
		Dec	Feb	Summative June
Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) in their daily instruction.	Oct		I CD	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by	Oct	Dec		
	Oct	Dec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by	Oct	Dec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by one year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL Specialist Instructional Specialist and Coaches	Oct	Dec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by one year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL Specialist Instructional Specialist and Coaches TELPAS Teacher of Records	Moderate	Bec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by one year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL Specialist Instructional Specialist and Coaches		Dec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by one year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL Specialist Instructional Specialist and Coaches TELPAS Teacher of Records	Moderate	Dec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by one year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL Specialist Instructional Specialist and Coaches TELPAS Teacher of Records	Moderate	Dec		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, student language acquisition and TELPAS scores will improve by one year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL Specialist Instructional Specialist and Coaches TELPAS Teacher of Records	Moderate			

Goal 1: Priority 1: Increase successful student outcomes through enhanced learning opportunities

Performance Objective 3: By May 2026, there will be a 10% increase in the Meets and Above Performance Level as measured on Science STAAR.

Evaluation Data Sources: Science STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Using the 5-E model and, ensure the learner is engaged in the "explore" portion of each concept. All MSE science teachers will focus on spiraling concepts of Physical Properties and States of Matter during science enrichment. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will make connections between the hands-on experiences to	Formative			Summative	
	Oct	Dec	Feb	June	
understanding science concepts in context.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Instructional Specialists					
District Support Staff	Some				
	Progress				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		-		
Strategy 2: MSE will create a rotational schedule for K-5 students to engage in the science lab. The MSE Parent		Formative		Summative	
Coordinator will assist in soliciting support from our parent volunteers, who have science and math backgrounds, to assist with setting up the science lab, providing additional tutorials, and assist teachers with enrichment. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result, students will improve the 'Meets' and 'Masters' percentage on the 5th grade STAAR test. Additionally, a stronger science foundation will be developed in the primary grades. ESF Levers:		Dec	Feb	June	
Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Progress				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Goal 2: Priority 2: Create and sustain a culture and climate of professionalism, accountability, and communication (PAC) where stakeholders (student, parents, and staff) are valued, inspired, and engaged.

Performance Objective 1: By May 2026, MES will increase student, parent, and community engagement in campus events by 10% through enhanced opportunities for collaboration and professional two-way communication as measured by FBISD's Student Engagement, Title I, and Parent Surveys.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent Sign-In Sheets, Principal Newsletters, Blackboard Communication System Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: -Daily folder and communication with parents regarding student progress.		Formative	Summative	
- Interest request for room mom		Dec	Feb	June
- grade level parents and classroom helpers; - Coverage for lunch and recess - monthly appreciation meetings -science day and reading day where parents teach, read, and support teachers Continue parent learning walksParents as teachers -Make and Take for parents				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: Priority 2: Create and sustain a culture and climate of professionalism, accountability, and communication (PAC) where stakeholders (student, parents, and staff) are valued, inspired, and engaged.

Performance Objective 2: By May 2026 MES will improve student behavior by implementing student ownership of behavior practices, PBIS, and other behavior supports as evidenced through a 5% decrease in discipline infractions.

Evaluation Data Sources: Skyward Discipline Reports, Student Surveys, Campus Observations

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement daily behavior folders and use Class Dojo application to inform parents of their	Formative			Summative
children's daily behavioral progress.		Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As a result of this practice, parents and teachers are able to engage in fluid two-way communication that will lead to a more positive socio-emotional and behavioral outcome for every student. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Grade Level Team Leaders				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Priority 3: Exhibit financial responsibility through transparent budgeting processes and effective management of resources aligned to the district strategic plan.

Performance Objective 1: During the 2025-2026 school year, MES will demonstrate financial responsibility by ensuring all campus expenditures align with the district's strategic plan and campus priorities through transparent budgeting practices.

Evaluation Data Sources: Monthly budget meetings between EA & principal CPAC minutes

Strategy 1 Details Reviews			iews	
Strategy 1: A budget meeting will be conducted monthly between the administrators and Executive Administrator to determine expenditures and resources needed to improve staff and student performance.		Formative		
		Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Having a monthly budget meeting will ensure that funds are utilized appropriately and MSE is being fiscally responsive with the monetary allocation.				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Plan Notes

CPAC Meeting Agenda/Minutes September 17, 2025 3:40 pm Mission Elementary

Meeting called by: Dr. Smith-Watson

Facilitator: Dr. Smith-Watson

Note Taker: Mrs. Schumacher-Bonilla

Attendees: Sonia Smith-Watson-Interim Principal, Sherayne English-Assistant Principal, Beth Schumacher-

Bonilla-SCR/Math Interventionist, Zulma Bordabahere-PK, Shannon Tran-K, -1st Grade, Angela Lea-2nd Grade,

Holm-Klink-3rd Grade, Sasha Gordon-4th Grade, Jennifer Atsbaha-Parent

Agenda

- Student Enrollment
- Review STAAR Data
- Goals and Strategies
- Feedback and Questions

Notes:
Enrollment has increased from 460 students to 486 students.
STAAR Data:
 ELA: 70% approaches, 39% meets, 10% masters Math: 64% approaches, 30% meets, 8% masters Science: 29% passed with approaching, focus on teaching science everyday
Goal 1:
Reading and Math: Implement and improve small group instruction to strengthen enrichment
Science: Utilize the 5E and ensure students are engaged during exploration
Goal 2:
Parent Involvement: Daily folders and communication regarding student progress, Room moms, Grade level
parents supporting lunch and recess helpers, Parent Learning Walk, Parents as Teachers,

Goal 3:

Site Based Decision Making Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Member	Jennifer Atsbaha	Parent
Parent Liaison	Andrea Beckert	Parent Coordinator
Administrator	Sonya Smith-Watson	Interim Principal
Administrator	Sherayne English	Assistant Principal
Teacher	Allison Pickens	5th grade
Teacher	Sacha Gordon	4th grade
Teacher	Stacey Klink	3rd grade
Teacher	Deana Shelton	2nd grade
Teacher	Mahin Ali	1st grade
Teacher	Shannon Tran	Kindergarten
Teacher	Zulima Bordabehere	Pre-K Teachers